

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FIL.	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/643,884 08/20/2003		3/20/2003	Kia Silverbrook	DOT06US	5227	
24011 7590 11/17/2004					EXAMINER		
	SILVERBRO	OOK RES	SEARCH PTY L	FRECH, KARL D			
	393 DARLING	G STREE	Τ	,			
	BALMAIN,	2041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ALICTRALIA			2076			

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Comment	10/643,884	SILVERBROOK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Karl D Frech	2876				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 September 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	<u>.</u>					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/643,884 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration filed September 2, 2004 has been considered.

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 2-3 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vajk et al. 5,265,033.

Vajk discloses an ATM/POS based electronic mail system that allows an existing ATM/POS terminal to be accessed by a customer with an appropriate card. This customer may access an electronic mail system (col 4 lines 64 – col 5 line 43). That is the existing machine operates in different modes, namely the ATM/POS mode and the Email mode, dependent upon the insertion of an appropriate card. Vajk does not

Application/Control Number: 10/643,884 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

specifically disclose the visually encoded information. However, visually encoded information on cards is old and well known, such as bar codes, alphanumeric codes, dot codes, among others. These codes are inherently optically read and converted into an electronic signal that is usable by the machine. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use visually encoded information on the card of Vajk in order to allow for more specific security and to increase the versatility of the system to include multiple formats of existing cards.

- 4. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, along with all the other limitations of independent claim 2, displaying by a book reader a portion of a book, the contents of this portion of a book held on a card.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed September 2, 2004 regarding claims 2 and 3 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Vajk does not disclose the card is encoded in a manner that determines the operation mode of the device. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The applicant has not specifically claimed what the specific "operation mode" is. The examiner has therefore broadly interpreted "operation mode" as the mode in which the reader is actively operating in response to a standard card being present within the reader. Applicant also argues that

Application/Control Number: 10/643,884

Art Unit: 2876

the visual representation and camera are not disclosed by Vajk. The Examiner presents Pierce et al. 4,734,565 in support of the previous holding of Official Notice. Pierce discloses a CCD (camera) for reading an optical (visual) code held on a card.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL:** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D Frech whose telephone number is (571) 272-2390. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,884

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Frech

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2876

*** '